**WHEN MY EMERGENCY BECOMES YOUR EMERGENCY: INTERLOCUTORY APPEALS AND EXTRAORDINARY REMEDIES**

*Moderator:* Robert Byer, Partner, Duane Morris, LLP

*Panelists:* Hon. Joel Bolger, Alaska Supreme Court

Hon. Catharina Haynes, Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals

D. Alicia Hickok, Partner, Drinker Biddle & Reath, LLP

*Learning Objectives:* The panelists here are from three jurisdictions that approach interlocutory appeals or other forms of interlocutory review from different vantage points. The purposes of the presentation are (1) to alert appellate judges and practitioners to some of the different ways courts balance three policy values: to protect against piecemeal appeals; to validate the trial court’s management of its docket; and providing a check and balance over issues that would (or may) not be fully remedied at the end of a case; (2) to provide guidance on what are compelling, persuasive, or out-of-the-gate losing arguments to convince courts to hear discretionary appeals and to stay orders while those appeals are being heard. We intend to field questions at each stage of the presentation.

# Introduction (Moderator)

# Overview of Three Systems: As the Policy Values Shift, What Effect on Trial Courts and Litigants?

# How Trial Court Orders Would (or Would Not) Be Reviewed:

A. Venue

B. Stay of Execution

C. Double Jeopardy

D. Claims of Privilege

i. Party

ii. Non-Party

# Arguing for Review

# Stays During an Interlocutory Appeal

# Conclusion